

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07)

EDVA

<b>ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET</b> (Instructions on Reverse)		<b>ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER</b> (Court Use Only)
<b>PLAINTIFFS</b>	<b>DEFENDANTS</b>	
<b>ATTORNEYS</b> (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	<b>ATTORNEYS</b> (If Known)	
<b>PARTY</b> (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee	<b>PARTY</b> (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee	
<b>CAUSE OF ACTION</b> (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED)		
<b>NATURE OF SUIT</b> (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property  <input type="checkbox"/> 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference  <input type="checkbox"/> 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer  <input type="checkbox"/> 14-Recovery of money/property - other           </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued)</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support  <input type="checkbox"/> 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury  <input type="checkbox"/> 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan  <input type="checkbox"/> 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support)  <input type="checkbox"/> 65-Dischargeability - other           </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay  <input type="checkbox"/> 72-Injunctive relief – other           </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 81-Subordination of claim or interest           </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 91-Declaratory judgment           </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 51-Revocation of confirmation</div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 01-Determination of removed claim or cause           </div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims  <input type="checkbox"/> 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud  <input type="checkbox"/> 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny  <p style="text-align: center;">(continued next column)</p> </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p><b>Other</b></p> <input type="checkbox"/> SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et seq.</i>  <input type="checkbox"/> 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)           </div> </div>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$	
Other Relief Sought		

**B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2**

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES		
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)		
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)

### INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, \*unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

**Plaintiffs and Defendants.** Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

**Attorneys.** Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

**Party.** Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

**Demand.** Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

**Signature.** This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

\*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083)  
Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679)  
TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC  
20 North Eighth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Richmond, Virginia 23219  
Telephone: (804) 783-8300  
Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

*Counsel to the Circuit City Stores, Inc.  
Liquidating Trust*

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
RICHMOND DIVISION**

In re:	) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
	)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., <u>et al.</u> ,	) Chapter 11
	)
Debtors.	) (Jointly Administered)
_____	)
	)
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE	)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING	) Adv. Pro. No. 10-_____
TRUST,	)
	)
Plaintiff,	)
	)
v.	)
	)
COCA-COLA ENTERPRISES INC.,	)
COCA COLA BOTTLING CO. CONSOLIDATED )	
AND BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO INC.)	
	)
Defendants.	

**THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER  
PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS AND OTHER AMOUNTS OWING TO THE  
ESTATE AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NOS. 856, 1022 AND 12875**

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the “Trustee”), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the “Plan”), for his complaint and for his objection to proof of claim numbers 856, 1022 and 12875 (the “Complaint”) against Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc., Coca Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated and Best Vendors Management Co. Inc. (collectively and individually “Defendant”), alleges as follows:

### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. The Trustee brings this action against Defendant to recover amounts due and owing from Defendant to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties. In addition to contractual claims, the Trustee seeks recovery for unjust enrichment and to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred during the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City’s bankruptcy proceedings, as well as turnover of property of the bankruptcy estates. Finally, the Trustee objects to Defendant’s proofs of claim filed against the Debtors’ estates.

### **THE PARTIES**

2. The Trustee (“Trustee” or “Plaintiff”) is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the “Trust”). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors’ estates.

3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively “Circuit City” or the “Debtors”)<sup>1</sup> were corporations that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the Delaware with its principal place of business in Atlanta, Georgia. Upon information and belief, Defendant Best Vendors Management Co. Inc. has a principal place of business in Charlotte, North Carolina. Upon information and belief, Defendant Coca Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated is a corporation organized under the laws of the Delaware with its principal place of business in Charlotte, North Carolina.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.

6. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

7. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard, Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

8. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 541, 542, 547, 550, and 558 of title 11, United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

### **PERTINENT FACTS**

#### **A. General Case Background**

9. On November 10, 2008 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.

10. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the “Creditors’ Committee”).

11. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors’ retail locations (the “Stores”) pursuant to an agency agreement (the “Agency Agreement”) between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the “Agent”). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors’ stores were completed.

12. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors’ Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors’ assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

13. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.

14. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the “Effective Date”).

**B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City And Defendant**

15. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.

16. On June 6, 2003, Circuit City and Defendant Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. entered into a Beverage Agreement (the “Original Agreement”). Thereafter, Circuit City and Defendant Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. entered into another Beverage Agreement<sup>2</sup> pursuant to which Defendant sold goods to Circuit City (together with the Original Agreement, the “Agreement”). Upon information and belief, Defendant Best Vendors Management Co. Inc. is a bottler and/or distributor for Defendant Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc.

17. During the course of the parties’ relationship, the parties engaged in numerous transactions pursuant to the Agreement that are reflected in invoices, communications and other documents (collectively, the “Defendant’s Agreements”).

18. Circuit City purchased goods from the Defendant pursuant to the Defendant’s Agreements.

19. Circuit City and Defendant conducted business with one another up to and through the Petition Date, and thereafter, under the Defendant’s Agreements.

---

<sup>2</sup> The Agreement is not dated on the first page but was executed by Defendant Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. on April 23, 2006 and Circuit City on April 16, 2007.

20. During the ordinary course of the Debtors' business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendant (the "Books and Records").

**C. Amounts Allegedly Owed To Defendant And Defendant's Proofs Of Claim**

**(1) Claim Nos. 856 and 1022**

21. On or about December 8, 2008, Defendant filed a claim in the amount \$3,444.08 as a claim allegedly entitled to administrative priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9) and on or about December 19, 2008, Defendant filed another claim in the amount \$208,875.36 as a claim allegedly entitled to administrative priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9) (collectively, the "503(b)(9) Claim"). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated these claims as Claim No. 856 and Claim No. 1022 respectively.

22. In the 503(b)(9) Claim, Defendant alleges that the claim was based on sales of certain goods by Defendant to Circuit City during the twenty-day period prior to the Petition Date.

**(2) Claim No. 12875**

23. On or about May 5, 2009, Defendant filed Claim No. 12875.

24. Claim No. 12875 is currently docketed (a) in the amount of \$78,352.56 as a general unsecured, non-priority claim (the "General Unsecured Claim") and (b) \$8,387.27 as an administrative claim.

**D. Amounts Owed To Circuit City By Defendant**

25. As of the date hereof, on account of the pre- and post-petition business conducted between Defendant and Circuit City pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements or



otherwise, Defendant is indebted to Circuit City on account of the following unpaid obligations (the “Unpaid Obligations”) in at least the following amounts:

	<u><b>Total</b></u>
Chargebacks	198,862.46
Billbacks	-
Returns &	
Other	-
<u>Total</u>	<u>198,862.46</u>

26. The Unpaid Obligations are due, owing, and payable by Defendant to Circuit City.

27. Circuit City has made demand for the Unpaid Obligations, but Defendant has not complied, and has not paid any portion of the Unpaid Obligations.

**E. Transfers To Defendant Made Within 90 Days Prior To The Petition Date**

28. During the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City’s bankruptcy cases (the “Preference Period”), Circuit City transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendant in an amount not less than \$1,201,766.99. A list identifying each and every transfer during the Preference Period is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the “Preferential Transfers”).

29. Plaintiff acknowledges that some of the Preferential Transfers might be subject to defenses under Bankruptcy Code section 547(c), for which the Defendant bears the burden of proof under Section 547(g). Plaintiff will work with Defendant to exchange applicable information in an effort to resolve any and all factual issues with respect to potential defenses.

**COUNT I**

**AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS  
PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 547(B)**

30. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

31. During the Preference Period, Defendant was a creditor of one or more of the Debtors.

32. Each Preferential Transfer identified on Exhibit A hereto was made to or for the benefit of Defendant.

33. Each Preferential Transfer was made for or on account of an antecedent debt or debts owed by one or more of the Debtors before such Preferential Transfers were made.

34. Each Preferential Transfer was made during the Preference Period.

35. Each Preferential Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent.

36. Each Preferential Transfer enabled Defendant to receive more than Defendant would have received if (i) the Debtors' chapter 11 cases were instead cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) the transfers and/or payments had not been made; and (iii) Defendant received payment on account of the debt paid by the Preferential Transfers to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.

37. Each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b).

**COUNT II**

**RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS  
PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 550**

38. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

39. Defendant was either (i) the initial transferee of the Preferential Transfers, (ii) the entity for whose benefit the Preferential Transfers were made, or (iii) an immediate or mediate transferee of the Preferential Transfers.

40. Each Preferential Transfer that is avoided under Section 547(b) is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.

41. Subject to potential defenses, the Trustee is entitled to recover the value of the Preferential Transfers pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550(a).

**COUNT III**

**BREACH OF CONTRACT**

42. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

43. Pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements, Defendant is obligated to pay to the Debtors the Unpaid Obligations.

44. The Defendant's Agreements are valid and enforceable agreements against Defendant.

45. The Debtors performed their obligations under the Defendant's Agreements with respect to the Unpaid Obligations.

46. Defendant's failure to compensate the Debtors for the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$198,862.46 constitutes a material breach of Defendant's obligations under the Defendant's Agreements.

47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches, the Debtors incurred damages in an amount not less than \$198,862.46.

48. Accordingly, the Trustee is entitled to a judgment against Defendant in an amount not less than \$198,862.46.

#### **COUNT IV**

##### **UNJUST ENRICHMENT/QUASI CONTRACT**

49. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

50. In the alternative to Count III, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count IV.

51. The Debtors conferred a benefit upon Defendant pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements, by, among other things, selling, marketing and distributing Defendant's goods to consumers.

52. Defendant knowingly accepted the benefit conferred by the Debtors.

53. The Debtors reasonably expected to be compensated by Defendant in an amount not less than \$198,862.46 on account of the benefit conferred upon Defendant.

54. Defendant's receipt of benefit without just compensation to the Debtors has unjustly enriched Defendant in an amount not less than \$198,862.46.

55. The Trustee has no adequate remedy at law to recover the Unpaid Obligations.

56. Accordingly, as a result of Defendant's unjust enrichment at the Debtors' expense, the Trustee is entitled to restitution from the Defendant in an amount not less than \$198,862.46.

**COUNT V**

**TURNOVER OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 542**

57. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

58. In the alternative to Counts III and IV, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count V.

59. Defendant is in possession, custody, and control of the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$198,862.46.

60. Defendant is not a custodian for the Unpaid Obligations.

61. The Unpaid Obligations constitute valid and existing debts, due and owing by Defendant to the Debtors.

62. The Unpaid Obligations are property of the Debtors' estates under section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code and constitute debts that are matured, payable on demand, or payable on order.

63. Defendant has not turned over or paid to Circuit City the Unpaid Obligations.

64. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 542, Defendant should be compelled to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$198,862.46.

**COUNT VI**

**ACCOUNT STATED**

65. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

66. One or more of the Debtors rendered invoices to the Defendant in the total amount of \$198,862.46.

67. Defendant has failed to pay the outstanding amount of \$198,862.46.

68. An account has been stated between the Debtor(s) which rendered the invoices and the Defendant whereby Defendant is indebted to such Debtor(s) in the total amount of \$198,862.46.

69. Said sums remain unpaid, and the Trustee is entitled to judgment against Defendant in the amount of \$198,862.46, plus interest.

**COUNT VII**

**OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 856 AND CLAIM NO. 1022 AS OVERSTATED**

70. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

71. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that (a) Claim No. 856 is overstated by \$980.46 and (b) Claim No. 1022 is overstated by \$2,396.40. The overstatements relates to amounts included in the claim for goods that Circuit City never received.

72. As a result, the 503(b)(9) Claim should be reduced to an amounts not to exceed \$2,463.62 and \$206,478.95 respectively.

**COUNT VIII**

**OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 12875 AS OVERSTATED**

73. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

74. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that the General Unsecured Claim of Claim No. 12875 is overstated by \$4,950.77. In particular, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 12875 includes invoices and charges for goods and/or services never received and fails to account for reconciling adjustments and/or provide other credits to Circuit City.

75. As a result of the above, the General Unsecured Claim of Claim No. 12875 should be reduced to an amount not to exceed \$73,401.79.

**COUNT IX**

**OBJECTION TO CLAIM NOS. 856 AND 1022 – SETOFF OF THE UNPAID OBLIGATIONS**

76. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

77. In the alternative to Counts III, IV and V, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count IX.

78. The Unpaid Obligations include \$195,322.20 that arose from prepetition business transactions between the Debtors and Defendant.

79. The Unpaid Obligations are debts owed by Defendant to the Debtors.

80. Claim Nos. 856 and 1022 also arose from prepetition business transactions between Defendant and the Debtors, and is are debts allegedly owed by the Debtors to Defendant.

81. Consequently, under applicable law, the Debtors have established valid setoff rights.

82. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 558, the Debtors' defenses, including setoff, are preserved.

83. Under applicable law, the prepetition Unpaid Obligations should be setoff against the alleged administrative portion of Claim No. 12875 and Claim Nos. 856 and 1022.

84. After setoff, (a) the administrative portion of Claim No. 12875 should be reduced to \$0, (b) Claim No. 856 should be reduced to \$0 and (c) Claim No. 1022 should be reduced to \$18,467.38.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

i. Pursuant to Counts I and II, enter judgment against Defendant under 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550 and allow the Trustee to avoid and recover the Preferential Transfers in an amount not less than \$1,201,766.99; and

ii. Pursuant to Count III, enter judgment against Defendant for breach of contract and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$198,862.46; and

iii. In the alternative to Count III, pursuant to Count IV, enter judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment and award Circuit City restitution damages on account of Defendant's unjust enrichment in an amount not less than \$198,862.46; and

iv. In the alternative to Counts III and IV, pursuant to Count V, order Defendant to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$198,862.46; and



v. In the alternative to Counts III, IV and V, pursuant to Count VI, enter judgment against Defendant for an account stated and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$198,862.46; and

vi. Pursuant to Count VII, reduce Claim No. 856 and Claim No. 1022 from the overstated amount of \$325,225.71 to the proper amount of \$2,463.62 and \$206,478.95 respectively; and

vii. Pursuant to Count VIII, reduce Claim No. 12875 from the overstated amount of \$78,352.56 to the proper amount of \$73,401.79; and

viii. In the alternative to Counts III, IV, V and VI, pursuant to Count IX, authorize the Trustee to effect a setoff of the prepetition Unpaid Obligations against (a) Claim No. 856, reducing it to the proper amount of \$0 and (b) Claim No. 1022, reducing it to the proper amount of \$18,467.38; and

ix. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate;

x. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and

xi. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia  
November 9, 2010

TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Paula S. Beran  
Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083)  
Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679)  
20 North Eighth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Richmond, Virginia 23219  
(804) 783-8300

*Counsel to the Circuit City Stores, Inc.  
Liquidating Trust*

**EXHIBIT A**

**(Preferential Transfers)**

Vendor Name	Check Clear Date	Check Number	Paid Amount
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	8/12/2008	1033439	84,870.96
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	8/19/2008	1033786	136,643.20
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	8/26/2008	1034109	101,379.44
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	9/8/2008	1034327	116,661.01
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	9/10/2008	1034657	80,855.70
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	9/16/2008	1035070	88,808.67
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	9/23/2008	1035469	109,964.68
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	9/30/2008	1035829	35,678.07
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	10/7/2008	1036168	167,586.65
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	10/15/2008	1036541	127,309.69
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	10/21/2008	1036873	72,419.33
BEST VENDORS MANAGEMENT CO			\$
INC	10/31/2008	1037174	79,589.59
<b>Total Payment Amount</b>			<b>\$ 1,201,766.99</b>